



Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

What we can learn from the Swedish paradox - more disappearing comments

1 message

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 10, 2020 at 9:20 PM

To: "freddie@unherd.com" <freddie@unherd.com>

Mr Sayers, I've left some comments on your recent article re Sweden, one of which has been sent off to spam. Why does this keep happening? UnHerd is claiming to give other voices a chance, but comments keep getting deleted.

It's absolutely appropriate to make comments about authoritarian Australia on your article to show what is happening in other parts of the world in regards to the handling of the coronavirus crisis. Can you please reinstate my comment, it's impossible to have this sort of conversation on public forums in Australia where such discussion is shut down.

Please see my comment below:

Elizabeth Hart Geoff Cox 2 hours ago Detected as spam Thanks, we'll work on getting this corrected.

Geoff, observing the situation in the police state of Victoria is appalling, with people masked and under curfew, impeded from travelling from their homes, and subject to intimidation by police and the army. This is the most shocking political experience of my lifetime, and it is occurring under Australian governments, not invading powers. I'm in South Australia and alert to them using the situation to amp up authoritarianism here.

There's a lack of transparency about the coronavirus situation, the information provided on the Department of Health Coronavirus (COVID-19) webpage is insufficient, and is beating up the number of 'cases' and not providing data which informs about the actual risk of this virus to the entire population. We need relevant information, e.g. what comorbidities are involved with Covid-19, across the age groups? What is the status of the people in hospital, the outcomes? We need real information, instead of media-led fear-mongering by beating up the number of 'cases' which is largely irrelevant.

There are no deaths reported in individuals under the age of 30, and yet the entire population of 25.5 million is being terrorised about this virus. The majority of deaths are in the age group 70-90+, i.e. the elderly. And these deaths are a tiny fraction of the elderly population in Australia. Federal and State governments have known for months now the demographic groups at risk, why have they not targeted efforts effectively to protect the elderly in aged care facilities and other vulnerable groups? Instead, untold millions are being spent on tests, with over 4.8 million being conducted, with positive tests amounting to 0.4% - what is the point of this? Millions of people with a sniffle are taking up resources in being tested, when it would be more effective for them to stay home and recover from whatever bug ails them.

The entire country is being adversely affected by the disproportionate response of governments and unelected individuals to this virus. What is the approach now, has it moved from supposedly 'flattening the curve' to elimination? Now what lies ahead? Are they monitoring developments in other countries such as the UK and Sweden? Are people more likely to be vulnerable being recommended to take vitamin D supplements, particularly those who are most likely to be deficient, e.g. people in aged care facilities? Is the development of natural immunity among the healthy population in Australia deliberately being hindered to facilitate the

implementation of fast-tracked, experimental vaccine products, with which Australia is involved via the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI)?

The situation is dire and politicians need to get this sorted before the whole country is wrecked socially and economically.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 6 Aug 2020 at 10:58 pm

Subject: The truth about vaccines - disappearing comments

To: freddie@unherd.com <freddie@unherd.com>

Dear Mr Sayers, comments are unaccountably going off to 'spam' on UnHerd articles, possibly because of technical glitches with formatting or including links.

However a few of my comments on the **Truth about vaccines** article will simply not publish and are marked as 'spam' - why is this happening?

The comment below includes important information about the potential for coercive coronavirus vaccination in Australia, with refusers facing five years imprisonment and/or a \$63,000 fine. This is a matter that requires transparent discussion in these controversial times, with fast-tracked vaccines in the offing.

Can I please request that my comment be published on **The truth about vaccines article**:

<https://unherd.com/2020/07/dont-dismiss-the-anti-vaxxers/>

See comment below.

Thanks

Elizabeth Hart

The scene is being set for compulsory coronavirus vaccination...

In Australia, we are now operating under a 'human biosecurity emergency' and this relates to 'human coronavirus with pandemic potential'. This emergency declaration has been extended to 17 September 2020.[1]

The Australian Biosecurity Act 2015 outlines steps that can be taken during this emergency, and it seems that the Director of Human Biosecurity, who is also the Chief Medical Officer, is calling the shots now. This person can direct an individual to comply with a human biosecurity control order, i.e. managing contacts, decontamination, examination, body samples, and vaccination or treatment.[2]

If an individual doesn't comply with a human biosecurity control order, e.g. vaccination, they are at risk of penalty of five years imprisonment and/or a \$63,000 fine.

So if a coronavirus vaccination was available right now, people could be coerced to have it by the Director of Human Biosecurity/Chief Medical Officer. Also keep in mind vaccine manufacturers are likely to have freedom from liability for these vaccine products. So if another 'human biosecurity emergency' is declared in future for the coronavirus situation, when and if a vaccine becomes available, individuals could be coerced to have this vaccine product, and the manufacturer would be free from liability if a side effect occurs.

Just let that sink in... I've raised this in previous comments, but I'm wondering if readers are taking in the significance of this? Remember, this could also happen in other countries.

Right now in Australia, we are under the power of the Director of Human Biosecurity/Chief Medical Officer - not someone who is an elected individual. There are many unelected individuals in this country who are making decisions affecting all of us in regards to the coronavirus situation, e.g. Chief Health Officers in all the states, and also academics in various disciplines.

I also wonder who initiated the Australian Biosecurity Act 2015, and how this was allowed by politicians, because our rights to bodily autonomy and 'informed consent' before a medical intervention have been trashed by this Act.

The situation in Australia is extremely serious, and most people here have no idea...they're nearly all beguiled by the virus...

References:

1. Biosecurity (Human Biosecurity Emergency) (Human Coronavirus with Pandemic Potential) Declaration 2020 made under section 475 of the Biosecurity Act 2015.
2. See the Biosecurity Act 2015, Part 3, Division 2, Subdivision C - When an individual is required to comply with a biosecurity measure.